1	Thomas P. Riley Law Offices of Thomas P. Riley, P.C.			
2	First Library Square			
3	1114 Fremont Avenue			
4	South Pasadena, CA 91030-3227 CA SBN No. 194706			
5	Fax: 626-799-9795 TPRLAW@att.net			
6	Tel: 626-799-9797			
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff			
8	G & G Closed Circuit Events, LLC			
9	UNITED STATES D			
10	DISTRICT OF PHOENIX I			
11				
12	G & G Closed Circuit Events, LLC,	Case No.:		
13	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT		
14	VS.			
15	Patricia E. Hernandez and Carlos Alcantara			
16	Mijangos, individually and d/b/a Las 15			
17	Salsas Restaurant Oaxaqueno; and Fandango Group, LLC, an unknown business entity			
18	d/b/a Las 15 Salsas Restaurant Oaxaqueno,			
19	Defendants.			
20	Defendants.			
21				
22				
23				
24	///			
25	///			
26	<i> </i>			
27				
28				

#### **PLAINTIFF ALLEGES:**

2

3

### **JURISDICTION**

4 5

1. Jurisdiction is founded on the existence of a question arising under particular statutes. This action is brought pursuant to several federal statutes, including the

6

Communications Act of 1934, as amended, Title 47 U.S.C. 605, et seq., and The Cable &

7 8

Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992, as amended, Title 47 U.S.

9 Section 553, et seq.

10

11

2. This Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C.

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

///

///

27

28

Section 1331, which states that the District Courts shall have original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the Constitution, laws, or treaties, of the United States. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367 (supplemental jurisdiction).

3. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the parties in this action as a result of the Defendants' wrongful acts hereinafter complained of which violated the Plaintiff's rights as the exclusive commercial domestic distributor of the televised fight *Program* hereinafter set forth at length. The Defendants' wrongful acts consisted of the interception, reception, publication, divulgence, display, exhibition, and tortious conversion of said property of Plaintiff within the control of the Plaintiff in the State of Arizona.

Page 2

## **VENUE**

4. Pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605, venue is proper in the District of Arizona, because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this District.

## **INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT**

5. Assignment to the Phoenix Division of the District of Arizona is proper because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in Maricopa County and/or, the United States District Court for the District of Arizona has decided that suits of this nature, and each of them, are to be heard by the Courts in this particular Division.

## **THE PARTIES**

- 6. Plaintiff, G & G Closed Circuit Events, LLC is, and at all relevant times mentioned was, a California corporation with its principal place of business located at 2925 Green Valley Parkway, Suite D, Henderson, Nevada 89014.
- 7. Defendant Patricia E. Hernandez is a managing member of Fandango Group, LLC, which owns and operates the commercial establishment doing business as Las 15 Salsas Restaurant Oaxaqueno operating at 722 West Hatcher Road, Phoenix, AZ 85021.
- 8. Defendant Patricia E. Hernandez is also an individual specifically identified on the Arizona Corporations Commission Entity Details No. L19022632 for Fandango Group, LLC as a Member.

	9. Defendant Carlos Alcantara Mijangos is a managing member of Fandango Group,
1	
2	LLC, which owns and operates the commercial establishment doing business as Las 15
3	Salsas Restaurant Oaxaqueno operating at 722 West Hatcher Road, Phoenix, AZ 85021.
4	
5	10. Defendant Carlos Alcantara Mijangos is also one of two individuals (the other being
6	
7	Defendant Patricia E. Hernandez) specifically identified on the Arizona Corporations
8	Commission Entity Details No. L19022632 for Fandango Group, LLC as a Member.
9	
10	11. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that on Saturday, September
11	16, 2017 (the night of the <i>Program</i> at issue herein, as more specifically defined in paragraph
12	21), Defendant Patricia E. Hernandez had the right and ability to supervise the activities of
13	
14	Las 15 Salsas Restaurant Oaxaqueno, which included the unlawful interception of Plaintiff's
15	Program.
16	
17	12. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that on Saturday, September
18	
19	16, 2017 (the night of the <i>Program</i> at issue herein, as more specifically defined in paragraph
20	21), Defendant Carlos Alcantara Mijangos had the right and ability to supervise the activities
21	of Las 15 Salsas Restaurant Oaxaqueno, which included the unlawful interception of
22   23	Plaintiff's Program.
24	
25	
26   27	
$\begin{bmatrix} 27 \\ 28 \end{bmatrix}$	
∠o	

13. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that on Saturday, September 16, 2017 (the night of the *Program* at issue herein, as more specifically defined in paragraph 21), Defendant Patricia E. Hernandez, as an individual specifically identified on the Arizona Corporations Commission Entity Details for Fandango Group, LLC, had the obligation to supervise the activities of Las 15 Salsas Restaurant Oaxaqueno, which included the unlawful interception of Plaintiff's *Program*, and, among other responsibilities, had the obligation to ensure that Las 15 Salsas Restaurant Oaxaqueno operated lawfully at all times.

- 14. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that on Saturday, September 16, 2017 (the night of the *Program* at issue herein, as more specifically defined in paragraph 21), Defendant Carlos Alcantara Mijangos, as an individual specifically identified on the Arizona Corporation Commission Entity Details for Fandango Group, LLC, had the obligation to supervise the activities of Las 15 Salsas Restaurant Oaxaqueno, which included the unlawful interception of Plaintiff's *Program*, and, among other responsibilities, had the obligation to ensure that Las 15 Salsas Restaurant Oaxaqueno operated lawfully at all times.
- 15. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that on Saturday, September 16, 2017 (the night of the *Program* at issue herein, as more specifically defined in paragraph 21), Defendants Patricia E. Hernandez and Carlos Alcantara Mijangos, specifically directed or permitted the employees of Las 15 Salsas Restaurant Oaxaqueno to unlawfully intercept and broadcast Plaintiff's *Program* at Las 15 Salsas Restaurant Oaxaqueno, or intentionally intercepted, and/or published the *Program* at Las 15 Salsas Restaurant Oaxaqueno themselves. The actions of the employees of Las 15 Salsas Restaurant Oaxaqueno are

19. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon that Defendant, Fandango Group, LLC is an owner, and/or operator, and/or licensee, and/or permittee, and/or person in charge, and/or an individual with dominion, control, oversight and management of the commercial establishment doing business as Las 15 Salsas Restaurant Oaxaqueno operating at 722 West Hatcher Road, Phoenix, AZ 85021.

#### **COUNT I**

### (Violation of Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605)

- 20. Plaintiff G & G Closed Circuit Events, LLC, hereby incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-19, inclusive, as though set forth herein at length.
- 21. Pursuant to contract, Plaintiff G & G Closed Circuit Events, LLC, was granted the exclusive nationwide commercial distribution (closed-circuit) rights to *Gennady Golovkin v*. *Saul Alvarez IBF World Middleweight Championship Fight Program*, telecast nationwide on Saturday, September 16, 2017 (this included all under-card bouts and fight commentary encompassed in the television broadcast of the event, hereinafter referred to as the "*Program*").
- 22. Pursuant to contract, Plaintiff G & G Closed Circuit Events, LLC, entered into subsequent sublicensing agreements with various commercial entities throughout North America, including entities within the State of Arizona, by which it granted these entities limited sublicensing rights, specifically the rights to publicly exhibit the *Program* within

casinos, bars, taverns, restaurants, social clubs, etc.).

23. As a commercial distributor and licensor of sporting events, including the *Program*, Plaintiff G & G Closed Circuit Events, LLC, expended substantial monies marketing, advertising, promoting, administering, and transmitting the *Program* to its customers, the aforementioned commercial entities.

their respective commercial establishments in the hospitality industry (i.e., hotels, racetracks,

- 24. With full knowledge that the *Program* was not to be intercepted, received, published, divulged, displayed, and/or exhibited by commercial entities unauthorized to do so, the above named Defendants, either through direct action or through actions of employees or agents directly imputable to Defendants (as outlined in paragraphs 7-19 above), did unlawfully intercept, receive, publish, divulge, display, and/or exhibit the *Program* at the time of its transmission at their commercial establishment in Phoenix, Arizona located at 722 West Hatcher Road, Phoenix, AZ 85021.
- 25. Said unauthorized interception, reception, publication, exhibition, divulgence, display, and/or exhibition by each of the Defendants was done willfully and for purposes of direct and/or indirect commercial advantage and/or private financial gain.
- 26. Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605, *et seq.*, prohibits the unauthorized publication or use of communications (such as the transmission of the *Program* for which Plaintiff G & G Closed Circuit Events, LLC, had the distribution rights thereto).

1	27.	By reason	of the aforesaid mentioned conduct, the aforementioned Defendants	
2	violated Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605, et seq.			
3				
4   5	28.	By reason	of the Defendants' violation of Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605, et seq.,	
6	Plaintiff G & G Closed Circuit Events, LLC, has the private right of action pursuant to Title			
7	47 U.S.C. Section 605.			
8				
9	29.	As the resul	t of the aforementioned Defendants' violation of Title 47 U.S.C. Section	
10	605, and pursuant to said Section 605, Plaintiff G & G Closed Circuit Events, LLC, is entitled			
11	to the following from each Defendant:			
12		Tollowing ITC	m cach Defendant.	
13				
14		(a)	Statutory damages for each violation in an amount to	
15			\$10,000.00 pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. § 553(c)(3)(A)(ii);	
16				
17		(b)	Statutory damages for each willful violation in an amount to	
18		(b)		
19			\$100,000.00 pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. 605(e)(3)(C)(ii); and	
20				
21		(c)	The recovery of full costs, including reasonable attorneys' fees,	
22			pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. Section 605(e)(3)(B)(iii).	
23	111			
24   25	///			
26	///			
20 27	///			
$\begin{bmatrix} 27 \\ 28 \end{bmatrix}$	///			
-5				

1	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as set forth below.	
2	<u>COUNT II</u>	
3	(Violation of Title 47 U.S.C. Section 553)	
4		
5		
6	30. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in	
7	paragraphs 1-29, inclusive, as though set forth herein at length.	
8		
9	31. The unauthorized interceptions, reception, publication, divulgence, display, and/or	
10	exhibition of the <i>Program</i> by the above named Defendants was prohibited by Title 47 U.S.C.	
11		
12	Section 553, et seq.	
13		
14	32. By reason of the aforesaid mentioned conduct, the aforementioned Defendants	
15	violated Title 47 U.S.C. Section 553, et seq.	
16		
17	33. By reason of the Defendants' violation of Title 47 U.S.C. Section 553, et seq.,	
18		
19	Plaintiff G & G Closed Circuit Events, LLC, has the private right of action pursuant to Title	
20   21	47 U.S.C. Section 553.	
22		
23	34. As the result of the aforementioned Defendants' violation of Title 47 U.S.C. Section	
24	553, Plaintiff G & G Closed Circuit Events, LLC, is entitled to the following from each	
25	Defendant:	
26	(a) Statutory damages for each violation in an amount to	
27	\$10,000.00 pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. § 553(c)(3)(A)(ii);	
28	φ10,000.00 parsuant to Title 47 0.5.C. § 555(c)(5)(11)(11),	

1		(b) Statutory damages for each willful violation in an amount to
2		\$50,000.00 pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. § 553(c)(3)(B);
3		
4		(a) The manner of full costs are made Title 47 H C C Costi or 552
5		(c) The recovery of full costs pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. Section 553
6		(c)(2)(C); and
7		
8		(d) In the discretion of this Honorable Court, reasonable attorneys' fees,
9		pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. Section 553(c)(2)(C).
10		r
11		
12	WHE	REFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as set forth below.
13	A 4	
14	As to	the First Count:
15	1.	For statutory damages in the amount of \$110,000.00 against the Defendants,
16		and each of them;
17	2.	For reasonable attorneys' fees as mandated by statute;
18		
19	3.	For all costs of suit, including, but not limited to, filing fees, service of
20		process fees, investigative costs; and
21	4.	For such other and further relief as this Honorable Court may deem just and
22		proper.
23	///	
24		
25	///	
26	///	
27	///	
28		

1	As to the Second Count:			
2	1. For statutory damages	in the amount of \$60,000.00 against the		
3	Defendants, and each	of them;		
4	2. For reasonable attorney	ys' fees as may be awarded in the Court's		
5	discretion pursuant to s	statute;		
7	3. For all costs of suit, in	cluding, but not limited to, filing fees, service		
8	of process fees, investigative costs; and			
9	4. For such other and further relief as this Honorable Court may deem just			
10		ner rener as uns fronorable court may deem just		
11	and proper.			
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17		Respectfully submitted,		
18				
19				
20   21	Date: August 22, 2018	/s/ Thomas P. Riley		
21		LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS P. RILEY, P.C. By: Thomas P. Riley		
23		Attorneys for Plaintiff		
24	///	G & G Closed Circuit Events, LLC		
25				
26				
27				
28	///			